

www.GotBigWater.com

Tanker Off-Load Tests

Evaluating a Jet-Dump Colton, New York August 24, 2008

© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland



- On August 23rd and 24th, 2008, the Colton (NY) Fire District hosted a Rural Water Supply Operations Seminar and Drill presented by GBW Associates, LLC.
- During the tanker shuttle drill on the 24th, the crew of an engine tanker (that was outfitted with an internal jet dump) asked about the rate at which they could dump their water.
- They wanted to know the impact that engaging the jet dump had on their off-loading ability.
- After the drill, a couple of off-load tests were done to answer the inquiry.
- The results of those tests are documented in this presentation.

© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

The Lanker

- Hopkinton
 Engine Tanker 44
 - 1981 E-One
 - 2,200 gallon tank
 - Hale 1,500 gpm pump
 - 5-inch round dump
 equipped with a Jet Dump
 - No direct fill must be filled back through the dump or through the pump.





© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

The Problem

 How much faster can Engine Tanker 44 off-load its tank water when the jet dump feature is used?

© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

The Hypothesis

- A jet dump normally improves the off-load ability of a tanker by quite a bit.
- However, that improvement depends on a number of items including:
 - The size of the dump opening, and
 - The operating pressure needed at the discharge of the jet pump.

© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

The Lest Set-up

- The test set-up was simple:
 - Engine Tanker 44 was filled until it overflowed and then dumped completely.
 - This was done twice -
 - Once without using the jet dump, and
 - Once using the jet dump



© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

Establishing Constants

 In order to collect comparable data, a few constants had to be established.

- When dumping, the tanker was parked at the same spot on a boat ramp so that the appropriate incline was replicated each time. (The boat ramp was simply used to control water run-off back into the river.)
- When dumping, a physical point on the actual dump outlet was marked and the water was allowed to dump until the water line reached that pre-determined point.
- When operating the jet dump, a discharge pressure of 125 psi was used.



© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

Test Results: Engine Tanker 44

Gravity dump only. - 7 minutes, 30 seconds - 300 gpm Jet Dump engaged - 4 minutes, 16 seconds 528 gpm – A 76% improvement over gravity dumping.





© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

It was clear that using the jet dump really made an improvement in the off-loading rate.

- Most likely, an increase in discharge pressure would make some improvement in off-loading – but the exact pressure was not determined during this test.
- The testing process would have been more accurate had it been conducted using scales and calculating the actual amount of water offloaded each time instead of "eye-balling" it.
- However, the tests were a good "field" demonstration.

© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland

Summar



www.GotBigWater.com

This program was developed by GBW Associates, LLC © 2008 No part may be used or copied without expressed written consent.

For more information contact us at

thebigcamel@gotbigwater.com

© 2008, GBW Associates, LLC Westminster, Maryland